Dates of Approvals: 16th February, 2024 (Approved at the 20th IQAC-PGIS)

24th February, 2024 (Approved at the 177th BoM)

Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Obtaining Stakeholder Feedback for the Postgraduate Institute of Science (PGIS), University of Peradeniya

1. Purpose:

To establish a structured process for systematically collect, analyze, and act upon feedback obtained from various stakeholders. This SOP aims to enhance the quality and effectiveness of the institute's operations, educational programs, resources and support by ensuring that stakeholder input is regularly acquired and used for continuous improvement.

2. Scope:

This SOP outlines the procedure to establish a structured process for obtaining and utilizing feedback from stakeholders, including students, academic staff, and external evaluators/professional bodies, to enhance the quality and effectiveness of the PGIS.

3. Responsibility:

Coordinator – IQAC

Coordinating Committee

Assistant Registrar (AR)

Management Assistants (MA) of Boards of study (BoS)

Curriculum Development and Revision Committee (CDRC)

Course coordinators

4. Detailed Procedure:

4.1. General guidelines

- The feedback process shall be transparent, systematic, and respectful of the rights of all stakeholders.
- The anonymity of the respondents shall be maintained throughout the process.
- Questionnaires issued by the PGIS (Annexure I-VI) shall be used when obtaining stakeholder feedbacks.
- No alterations shall be made to the existing forms. Any queries must be communicated to the AR/Coordinator IQAC for subsequent implementation. However, forms should be reviewed annually and updated accordingly.
- Feedback process shall be carried out in either a physical or online format, depending on the requirement.

4.2. Feedback collection

i. Student feedback on the instructor, course and resources

• For courses conducted in-person, physical questionnaires shall be distributed at the end of the respective course (Annexure I). The course coordinators shall be responsible

- in arranging the feedback process. The completed forms shall be forwarded to the IQAC-PGIS for analysis.
- Online courses may have an electronic questionnaire which shall be forwarded to the students by relevant course coordinators (Link). Before circulating the electronic feedback form, it needs to be renamed with Instructor/Course Evaluation form_Course Code/Academic Year. (Example: Instructor/Course Evaluation _CHN511_2020/21). The responses shall be forwarded to the IQAC-PGIS for analysis.

ii. Student feedback on supervisor

- Student feedback on their respective supervisor shall be obtained upon the submission of the thesis. MAs of respective BoS shall be responsible in arranging the feedback process which shall be conducted through either a physical (Annexure II) or online format (Link).
- If a student was supervised by more than one supervisor, separate forms need to be filled out for individual supervisor. The responses shall be forwarded to the IQAC-PGIS for analysis.

iii. Graduates' feedback on the PGIS

• Graduates' feedback on the PGIS shall be conducted at the time of their graduation (Annexure III, Annexure IV). The questionnaire shall be administered physically or online by the AR/MA of respective BoS. The responses shall be forwarded to the IQAC-PGIS for analysis.

iv. Academic staff, external evaluator, professional body feedback

- Feedback on curriculum, assessment criteria, available resources and facilities shall be obtained from the academic staff, external evaluators, and/or professional bodies.
- The coordinators of each programme shall take the responsibility of conducting the feedback collection process from the relevant stakeholders.
- Feedback process shall be carried out by the IQAC-PGIS in either a physical (Annexure V, Annexure VI) or online format (Links), depending on the requirement. Collected responses shall be forwarded to the IQAC-PGIS for analysis.

4.3. Data analysis

 Student feedback on the instructor, course and resources shall be analysed by the respective course coordinators and a summary report shall be provided to the Coordinator-IQAC. The Coordinator – IQAC shall submit an overall programme feedback summary report to the Coordinating Committee and the Board of Management annually or as required.

- ii. Student feedback on supervisor shall be analysed by the IQAC-PGIS and a summary report shall be submitted to the Coordinating Committee and the Board of Management as required.
- iii. Graduates' feedback on the PGIS shall be analysed by the IQAC-PGIS and a summary report shall be submitted to the Coordinating Committee and the Board of Management as required.
- iv. Academic staff, external evaluator, professional body feedback on the PGIS shall be analysed by the IQAC-PGIS and a summary report shall be submitted to the Coordinating Committee and the Board of Management as required.

4.4. Action plan and implementation

- The Coordinating Committee shall discuss the reports submitted by the IQAC coordinator.
- Action plans shall be developed by the IQAC coordinator based on the feedback analyses and the decisions taken at the Coordinating Committee Meetings (CCM), outlining specific steps and timelines for addressing concerns and making improvements.
- The actions shall be prioritized based on the significance and urgency of the issues raised. The action plan should also be approved at the CCM.
- Appropriate action plans shall be executed in collaboration with relevant stakeholders.

Annexure I: Student feedback form on Instructor/Courses/Resources

Evaluation of Instructor/Course/Resources

Degree:
Year:
Semester:
Course Code:
nstructor's Name:

This evaluation is intended to obtain the student's feedback on Instructor's teaching and the Course as well as the Resources available at the PGIS. Your feedback will be analyzed centrally and sent to the relevant parties upon the release of grades of this course through the Director, PGIS.

Instructions to students

- > Give your honest opinions about the instructor, course, and the availability of resources at the PGIS
- > The evaluations will be made available to the instructor only after the final grades are released
- You are advised to give written comments, if any, in the space provided
- > Please do not enter your name or registration number on this evaluation form

In the following sections, select your appropriate choice/choices.

* Indicates required question

Instructor Evaluation*						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The instructor explained the objectives and the contents of the course at the beginning.					
2.	The instructor is well prepared, structured and organized.					
3.	The instructor communicated clearly and effectively (voice, pace, etc.).					
4.	The instructor used effective teaching methods.					
5.	The instructor stimulated the interest in the subject/field.					
6.	The instructor demonstrated confidence in the subject.					

	The instructor motivated to think, ask questions and encouraged self-learning.					
8.	The instructor was punctual and utilized the given time effectively.					
9.	The instructor gave effective continuous assessments and provided timely feedback.					
10.	Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.					
11.	Any other comments about the instr	ructor.				
Cou	rse Evaluation*					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		6				~ 8
12.	Content of the course met my expectations					
13.	expectations Course conveyed new					
13.	expectations Course conveyed new knowledge Relevant for the anticipated					
13. 14. 15.	expectations Course conveyed new knowledge Relevant for the anticipated career Would recommend this course to	rse.				
13. 14. 15.	expectations Course conveyed new knowledge Relevant for the anticipated career Would recommend this course to others	rse.				
13. 14. 15.	expectations Course conveyed new knowledge Relevant for the anticipated career Would recommend this course to others	rse.				
13. 14. 15.	expectations Course conveyed new knowledge Relevant for the anticipated career Would recommend this course to others	rse.				
13. 14. 15. 16.	expectations Course conveyed new knowledge Relevant for the anticipated career Would recommend this course to others	rse.				
13. 14. 15. 16 Res	expectations Course conveyed new knowledge Relevant for the anticipated career Would recommend this course to others Any other comments about the course.					

18. How satisfied are you with the library services?
1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Extremely Satisfied
19. How do you find textbooks and other relevant course materials?
Library resources
Internet sources
Instructor recommendations
Peer sharing
Other (specify)
Other (specify)
20. Are there enough study spaces on campus to accommodate your study preferences and needs?
Not at all Adequate space
21. How satisfied are you with the computer and internet facilities at the institute?
1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Extremely Satisfied
22. Are you satisfied with the food options available on campus?
Not at all Extremely Satisfied
23. Are the sanitary facilities easily accessible when needed?
Yes
No
Never used
1 to vot asea
24. Provide any additional comments or concerns you may have regarding the sanitary facilities at
PGIS.
25. Any other comments about the resources.

Annexure II: Student feedback form on Supervisor

Supervisor Evaluation

This evaluation is intended to obtain the student's feedback on their respective research project supervisors.

* Indicates required question

Deg	gree:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Nan	me of the supervisor:	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The supervisor provided clear expectations for your research/ project*					
2.	The supervisor scheduled regular meetings to discuss matters related to the research/ project*					
3.	The supervisor was responsive to your questions and concerns*					
4.	The supervisor provided guidance on the research process and methodologies*					
5.	The supervisor gave constructive feedback on your work*					
6.	The supervisor supported your academic and career development*					
7.	The supervisor was approachable and accessible when needed*					
8.	The supervisor encouraged to publish your work or participate in collaborative research*					
9.	The supervisor encouraged your involvement in conferences, workshops, academic activities*					

10. The supervisor promptly resolved any issues or concerns regarding the project/ research*
11. Rate the overall experience with your supervisor*
1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent
12. What aspects of the supervision process do you believe need improvement?
13. Any additional comments or feedback regarding the supervisor or your postgraduate experience in general

Evaluation of the Postgraduate Institute of Science (PGIS) by PGIS Graduates

(Masters and M.Sc. Programmes)

	is form is to evaluate PGIS and its Masters and M.Sc. degree programmes by PGIS graduates ndicates required question
1.	Select your degree type * Masters M.Sc.
2.	Commencement of classes soon after inauguration of programme * Yes No
3.	Conduct of classes according to the semester schedule * Low High
4.	Timely conduct of examinations * 1 2 3 4 5 Low High
5.	Timely release of Results and GPA * 1 2 3 4 5 Low High
6.	English proficiency is a decisive factor in pursuing the degree * Low High

Your level of satisfaction in regards to following aspects of the PGIS administrative staff:

7. Their cooperation *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Low						High

8. Take necessary actions on time *

		1	2	3	4	5	
	Low						High
9.	Communicate	e and	undate	e relev	vant i	nformat	tion *
•		1	2	3	4	5	
	Low						High
10	Any more sug	ooesti	ons to	impr	ove a	dminist	rative and financial matters
10.	Ting more sag	58050		p.	0,04		Tall to and thanking that the second
		• • • • • •					
Ev	aluation of Ro	esear	ch Co	mpor	nents	(If Anr	olicable)
_,		cocui	cii co	mpor			oneupic)
11.	Assistance re	ceive	_				arch Project
	Low	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5	High
	Low						High
12.	Availability of	of rese	earch f	acilit	ies		
	Low	1	2	3	4	5	Hick
	Low						High
13.	Supervision r	eceiv	ed dur	ing re	esearc	h study	
	Low	1	2	3	4	5	III al
	Low						High
14.	Timely condu	ict of	the pr	ogres	s eval	luations	
	τ.	1	2	3	4	5	TT: 1
	Low						High
15.	Quality of lab	orato	ry fac	ilities	,		
	_	1	2	3	4	5	
	Low						High
16.	Timely evalua	ation	of the	sis			
		1	2	3	4	5	
	Low						High
17.	Any specific	comn	nents				

Sugg	gestion of New Programmes
18. F	Please suggest new short courses and workshops
19. F	Please suggest new degree programmes and areas
	The state of the s
•	
•	
•	
20. <i>A</i>	Any other training recommendations
•	
Ove	rview about PGIS
21. 0	Overall rating of PGIS *
	1 2 3 4 5
	Low High
22. <i>A</i>	Any other comments and suggestions
•	

Evaluation of the Postgraduate Institute of Science (PGIS) by PGIS Graduates

(M.Phil. and Ph.D. Programmes)

This form is to evaluate PGIS and its M.Phil. and Ph.D. degree programmes by PGIS graduates * Indicates required question
1. Select your degree type * M.Phil. Ph.D.
2. Select the relevant Board of Study*
Biochemistry and Molecular Biology
Chemical Sciences
Earth Sciences
Environmental Science
Mathematics
Physics
Plant Sciences
Science Education
Statistics and Computer Science
Zoological Sciences
3. Timely conduct of examinations *
Low
4. English proficiency is a decisive factor in pursuing the degree * 1 2 3 4 5
Low High
Your level of satisfaction in regards to following aspects of the PGIS administrative staff:

5. Their cooperation *

1 2 3 4 5

6.	Take necessary actions on time *								
	1 2 3 4 5								
	Low High								
7.	Communicate and update relevant information *								
	1 2 3 4 5								
	Low High								
8.	Any more suggestions to improve administrative and financial matters								
Ev	aluation of Research Component								
9	Assistance received at the start of the M.Phil/Ph.D. Research Project*								
٠.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
	Low High								
10	Any specific comments:								
10.	Any specific comments.								
11	A 11.11% C 1.6 11% *								
11.	Availability of research facilities* 1 2 3 4 5								
	1 2 3 4 5 Low High								
12.	Supervision received during research study*								
	1 2 3 4 5 Low High								
13.	Timely conduct of the progress evaluations*								
	1 2 3 4 5 Low High								
	Low High								
14.	Quality of laboratory facilities*								
	1 2 3 4 5								
	Low High								
15.	Timely evaluation of thesis*								

	_	1	2	3	4	5	
	Low						High
16.	Any specific of	comm	nents				
				• • • • • •			
Ca	reer Guidanc	e (Na	ture o	f help	you 1	receive	ed from the PG institute for career development)
17	Job fairs*						
1/.	Yes						
	No						
18.	Workshops*						
	Yes						
	No						
19.	Motivational	lectur	es*				
	Yes						
	No						
20.	Any other sug	gesti	ons				
	, .						
Ov	erview about	PGIS	5				
20	0 11 4	C D					
28.	Overall rating	OI PO	JIS *				
	.	1	2	3	4	5	***
	Low						High
29.	Any other cor	nmen	ts and	l sugg	gestion	ıs	

Annexure V: Teaching Panel/External Examiner Feedback

Evaluation of the Postgraduate Institute of Science (PGIS) by Teaching Panel/External Examiners

This form is to evaluate the PGIS based on the curricula, assessment criteria, resources and facilities by PGIS teaching panel and the external examiners.

T T	ndicates required question
	Select your affiliation with the PGIS* Member of the teaching panel External examiner
Yo	ur level of satisfaction in regards to following aspects of the PGIS administrative staff:
2.	Their cooperation * 1 2 3 4 5 Low High
3.	Take necessary actions on time *
	Low 1 2 3 4 5 Low High
4.	Communicate and update relevant information *
	1 2 3 4 5 Low High
5.	Do payments on time Yes No
6.	Any more suggestions to improve administrative and financial matters
Me	ember of the teaching panel (If applicable)
7.	Courses conducted at the PGIS

8. How aligned the programme curricula with current industry trends and academic advancements?
Not at all Highly aligned
9. Any further comments on programme curricula:
10. Are the teaching methods and approaches conducive to promoting student engagement and
learning outcomes? Yes
No No
Not sure
11. Teaching materials and resources provided adequate for effective course delivery
1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Highly adequate
12. Research facilities and resources, including laboratories and libraries, are sufficient for MSc,
MPhil, and PhD students?
Not at all Highly adequate
13. Any suggestions to improve the quality of education and research in these programs.
External Examiner (If applicable)
14. How do you assess the overall quality and rigor of the examination and assessment processes
in the MSc/MPhil/PhD programs?
1 2 3 4 5

	Low					High	
	Yes No	stablis	hed st	andar	ds?	and dissertations conducted fairly and in	
	16. How would you rate the academic facilities and resources provided for conducting examinations and assessments?						
	Low	2	3	4	5	High	
17. Are th	Yes No					nes well-organized and adhered to consistently?	
18. Do you have any recommendations for improving the quality and integrity of the examination and assessment procedures?							

Evaluation of the Postgraduate Institute of Science (PGIS) by Industrialists/Employers

This form is to evaluate the Postgraduate Institute of Science, University of Peradeniya by Stakeholders, such as Industrialists and Employers.

* I	ndicates required question							
1.	Select your affiliation with the PGIS* Collaborator from Industry or organization Employer of PGIS student/alumni							
2.	How familiar are you with our postgraduate institute and its programs? Low High							
3.	How would you rate the overall preparedness of our graduates for the demands of the industry? 1 2 3 4 5 Dissatisfied Highly Satisfied							
4.	Are there any particular areas in which our graduates could improve their skill sets or knowledge?							
5.	How would you rate our graduates' communication skills (written and verbal)? 1 2 3 4 5 Dissatisfied Highly Satisfied							
6.	How important is an internship or practical experience in your hiring decision process? 1 2 3 4 5 Not important Very Important							
7.	What suggestions do you have for the PGIS to better align our programs with industry requirements and trends?							

8.	Are there any specific courses or subjects you believe our institute should include or emphasize to better meet industry needs?				
9.	How likely are you to recommend our institute to other employers or colleagues in your industry?				
	1 2 3 4 5				
	Unlikely Very Likely				
10. Suggestions or Comments to improve the capacities of the PGIS graduates.					