

## POSTGRADUATE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF PERADENIYA PGIS RESEARCH GRANTS - MPhil/PhD Reviewer Report – Final Report

	Criteria	Level	Remarks		
1	Research Progress of	Excellent			
	the Grant	Good			
		Satisfactory			
		Inadequate			
2	Publications <sup>1</sup>	Excellent			
		Good			
		Satisfactory			
		Inadequate			
3	Financial Progress <sup>2</sup>	Excellent			
		Good			
		Satisfactory			
		Inadequate			

 $^1$  Excellent  $\;\;$  : More than one SCI Paper and at least one Abstract (RESCON) accepted

Good : SCI Paper and Abstracts (i.e. RESCON)

(If not recommended, please give the reason/s)

Satisfactory: SCI Paper and Abstract (i.e. RESCON) submitted (at least one should be accepted)

Inadequate : None

<sup>2</sup> Excellent : If the budget is used  $\geq 80\%$ Good : If the budget is used  $\geq 60\%$ Satisfactory : If the budget is used  $\geq 40\%$ 

Inadequate : < 40%

Any further comments					
Name and Signature of the Reviewer:					
Date:					
Dute					
Recommendation of the PGIS Research Grant Committee:					
Coordinator/PGIS Research Grant Cor	mmittee	Date			
Approval of the Director/Postgraduate Institute of Science					
Dimentary/ DCIC		D-4-			
Director/ PGIS		Date			