**POSTGRADUATE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE**

**UNIVERSITY OF PERADENIYA**

**PGIS RESEARCH GRANTS** - **MPhil/PhD**

**Reviewer Report – Final Report**

**Grant number……………………………**

**Title of the project: ……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Criteria | Level | Remarks |
| 1 | Research Progress of the Grant | Excellent  Good  Satisfactory  Inadequate |  |
| 2 | Publications[[1]](#footnote-1) | Excellent  Good  Satisfactory  Inadequate |  |
| 3 | Financial Progress[[2]](#footnote-2) | Excellent  Good  Satisfactory  Inadequate |  |

(If the grantee is unable to complete the project during the given time, the grantee can request

for an extension for another year)

Recommendation for extension: Recommended / Not Recommended

(If not recommended, please give the reason/s)

Any further comments

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

Name and Signature of the Reviewer: …………………………………………………

…………………………………………………

Date: ………………………………..

**Recommendation of the PGIS Research Grant Committee:** ……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

……………………………… ……………………

Coordinator/PGIS Research Grant Committee Date

**Approval of the Director/Postgraduate Institute of Science**

……………………………….. ……………………

Director/ PGIS Date

1. *Excellent*  : *More than one SCI Paper* ***and*** *at least one Abstract (RESCON) accepted*

   *Good* : *SCI Paper* ***and*** *Abstracts (*i.e. *RESCON)*

   *Satisfactory* : *SCI Paper* ***and*** *Abstract (*i.e. *RESCON) submitted (at least one should be accepted)*

   *Inadequate*  : *None* [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. *Excellent* : *If the budget is used ≥ 80%*

   *Good* : *If the budget is used ≥ 60%*

   *Satisfactory* : *If the budget is used ≥ 40%*

   *Inadequate*  : *< 40%*  [↑](#footnote-ref-2)