

## POSTGRADUATE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF PERADENIYA

## PGIS Research Grant - MPhil/PhD Progress Review Progress Evaluation (at the end of the First Year)

**Grant number:** 

| Reg. No.: Degree: Title of the Project : |  |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|--|--|-----------------|---|---|---|-----------------|---------|
|  |  |                 |   |   | Criteria                                      | Grade           | Remarks |
|  |  |                 |   | 1 | Overall Knowledge                             | A- Good         |         |
|  |  |                 |   |   | (Knowledge in the research area, knowledge of | B- Satisfactory |         |
|  | background literature, understanding of                            | C- Poor         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | fundamentals related to research, and critical                     |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | thinking skills  |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  |  |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
| 2  | Originality and Creativity   | A- Good         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | (Significance of research, contribution to knowledge,              | B- Satisfactory |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | Innovative aspects/uniqueness of ideas)                            | C- Poor         |   |   |   |                 |         |
| 3  | Organization   | A- Good         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | (Logical presentation of work and ideas, aims and                  | B- Satisfactory |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | objectives clearly stated, methodology for achieving               | C- Poor         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | goals appropriately stated)  | . ~ .           |   |   |   |                 |         |
| 4  | Results and Discussion   | A- Good         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | (Clear description of results, results accomplished to             | B- Satisfactory |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | answer the research problem/hypothesis, clarity,                   | C- Poor         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | accuracy and relevance of figures and tables,                      |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | discussion of results and implications, justification              |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
| 5  | of analyses and conclusions and scientific merit,  Overall Defence | A- Good         |   |   |   |                 |         |
| 5  | (Quality of responses to questions; completeness,                  | B- Satisfactory |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | confidence, logical arguments, critical thinking                   | C- Poor         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | during the discussion, the extent of knowledge in the              | C 1 001         |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | subject matter, meet the level expected for the                    |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | degree)  |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | <i>U</i> ,   | l .             | I.                                      |   |   |                 |         |
|  | Additional Comments (if required, use an additional pa             | aper)           |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  | _  |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  |  |                 |   |   |   |                 |         |
|  |  |                 | • |   |   |                 |         |

Name and Signature of evaluator:

Date: