



**POSTGRADUATE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, PERADENIYA**  
**PGIS RESEARCH GRANTS - MPhil/PhD**  
**Reviewer Report – For Continuation (Second Phase)**

**Grant number**.....

**Title of the project:**

.....  
.....

	Criteria	Level	Remarks
1	Progress of the Grant	<input type="radio"/> Excellent <input type="radio"/> Good <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory <input type="radio"/> Inadequate	
2	Publications <sup>1</sup>	<input type="radio"/> Excellent <input type="radio"/> Good <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory <input type="radio"/> Inadequate	
3	Financial Progress <sup>2</sup>	<input type="radio"/> Excellent <input type="radio"/> Good <input type="radio"/> Satisfactory <input type="radio"/> Inadequate	

Recommendation for extension: Recommended / Not Recommended

(If not recommended, please give the reason/s)

.....  
.....  
.....

---

<sup>1</sup> *Excellent* : SCI Paper **or** Abstract (i.e. RESCON) accepted  
*Good* : SCI Paper **and** Abstract (i.e. RESCON) submitted  
*Satisfactory* : SCI Paper **and** Abstract (i.e. RESCON) drafted  
*Inadequate* : None

<sup>2</sup> *Excellent* : If the budget is used  $\geq 80\%$   
*Good* : If the budget is used  $\geq 60\%$   
*Satisfactory* : If the budget is used  $\geq 40\%$   
*Inadequate* :  $< 40\%$

Name and Signature of the Reviewer: .....

.....

Date: .....

**Recommendation of the PGIS Research Grant Committee:**

.....  
.....

.....  
Coordinator/PGIS Research Grant Committee

.....  
Date

**Approval of the Director/Postgraduate Institute of Science**

.....  
Director/ PGIS

.....  
Date